

Minutes of the Meeting of the Committee
of Six after the departure of FPH -
December 17, 1965

III - 31

Hockett: Kasparian was a C.T.R. Grantee: Ad Hoc wants more of the same.

Hardy: We need some one to testify: some one with more cases and who is more articulate.

Russell: Not of the highest priority.

Yeaman & De Hart: Agree

Hardy: Need to get it started as soon as possible.

Yeaman: C.T.R. working on it now but no pressure for immediate progress.

Brown: The key is to get a witness because Kasparian was not good; Mr. Hatsko has put it low priority.

Russell: Concerned about the cost.

Decision: Ad Hoc to investigate people to do the job and to report the cost.

IV - 10

Hardy: Obviously Ad Hoc.

Jacob: Priority obviously high.

Yeaman: Will Ad Hoc proceed.

Decision: Authorize project for Ad Hoc.

RC-6033491

LG2000139

VI - 5

Jaocb: We need a witness who can give a graphic demonstration for Congress.

Yeaman: Little scientific effect but very effective as a demonstration.

Russell, Smith & De Hart: Agree.

Ramm: Not sure of whether the project is worth-while.

Cohn, Jacob & De Hart: Project will not be cheap.

Cohn: FPH would want to review the project because of its cost.

Yeaman: I want to review also.

Ramm, Smith & Yeaman: I would proceed.

Decision: Ad Hoc to submit specific proposal with cost; possibly use outside laboratory.

Summary: On list "A" authorization was given to numbers 1-6, 8-11, 13 and 15.

Drs. Hockett and Lisanti presented Kurt Ensleine's project to develop computer analyses of longevity factors. For this purpose see the attached papers of C.T.R. (Encl. 1). Dr. Lisanti will meet with Drs. Rose and Ensleine on December 27 and hoped to tell them they would go ahead with their project. The following is a summary of the discussion on the matter:

Yeaman: Could have advisory value.

Hockett: This might be the way to analyze Hammond.

RC-6033492

LG2000140

Lisanti: Cannot use conventional methods for more than four variables; this would take it down a number of levels.

Yeaman: We might embarrass Hammond into using it; we also would be exploring new areas of programming and analysis. I am for it.

Smith, Ramm & Russell: Agree

Brown: Mr. Hetsko will attend in P.M.

Cohn: We will go along unless C.T.R. hears from FPH on Monday.

In reference to the special Ad Hoc projects the following is a summary of the discussion:

Severi

Hardy: Dr. Hockett wants to investigate.

Brown: Stewart is interested.

Hardy: Dr. Hockett proposed to have the project referred to S.A.B., and if S.A.B. turns it down to carry it out under C.T.R. special projects. Severi's good will has real value.

Brown: Has importance from the point of view of systemic causes.

Decision: Authorize.

Brinkman

Ramm: C.T.R.?

Cooke: This would be a pilot, C.T.R. would be later.

Decision: C.T.R. special project payment, but Ad Hoc would make the contact.

Lanoston

Decision: Already approved for Ad Hoc.

Palmer

Hardy: See project II - 28; we must follow it up soon since he wrote last spring; it is only \$600.

Decision: Authorize for Ad Hoc.

Katz

Jacob: Statistician note estimated cost of \$2,500 on project II.

Decision: Two grants - \$2,000 from C.T.R. special funds and \$1,500 from Ad Hoc through the Central Files.

Feinstein

Jacob: Yerashalmy thinks highly of him; "big hope for the future"; strikes at aggravation and acceleration theories; we need the money by the end of the month.

Decision: Jacob to inform Feinstein the C.T.R. will send him a check by the end of the month; C.T.R. should require an application from him.

Smith

Jacob: Request is for \$3,600.

Decision: Smith should ask C.T.R. for a grant.

RC-6033494

LG2000142

Mr. Hardy explained some of the problems that members of the Ad Hoc Committee were having in getting the Drs. who testified before Congress to authorize distribution of their material. Brownlee said "of course; it is in the public domain." But Wolfe said that he wanted to think about it; Farris did not want "publicity"; and Moran wanted his statement used only by lawyers or on request by nonlawyers.

RC-6033495

LG2000143

Summary of Disposition of Projects in
the "A" List - December 17, 1965

1. (I-2; II-25): Authorized for C.T.R.
2. (II-1,3,4): Authorized for Ad Hoc.
3. (II-2): Authorized for Ad Hoc.
4. (II-5,44): II-5 authorized for Ad Hoc; II-44 authorized for C.T.R.
5. (II-8,9,10,22,23,32,33): Authorized for C.T.R.
6. (II-14-15-16): II-14,15 authorized for C.T.R.; II-16 Ad Hoc to follow.
7. (II-28,30,31): To be restated by Ad Hoc.
8. (II-47; IV-1-9; V-2): Authorized for Ad Hoc.
9. (II-48): Authorized for Ad Hoc.
10. (III-2): Authorized for C.T.R.
11. (III-14): Authorized for C.T.R.; Ad Hoc to assist with preliminary canvassing.
12. (III-17; IV-5): To be tabled until the Langston project is finished; Ad Hoc to have auspices.
13. (III-26): Authorized for Ad Hoc.
14. (III-31): Ad Hoc to submit specific proposal with costs.
15. (IV-10): Authorized for Ad Hoc.
16. (VI-5): Ad Hoc to submit specific proposal with costs.

RC-6033496

LG2000144